UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,779	04/21/2004	Robert P. Bourdelais	85924PAL	5242
	7590 06/21/2007		EXAM	INER
Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,779	BOURDELAIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 May 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 8-19 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/828,779

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1 3, 5, 6, 8 12 and 14 17 over Aoki et al. (USPN 6,562,429) in view of Reiger et al. (USPN 6,653,061) and Bourdelais et al. (USPN 6,270,950) in the office action dated February 13, 2007 is withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the response dated May 14, 2007.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 13, 18, 19 and 25 over Aoki et al. (USPN 6,562,429) in view of Reiger et al. (USPN 6,653,061), Bourdelais et al. (USPN 6,270,950) and Tsugawa et al. (USPN 5,928,987) in the office action dated February 13, 2007 is withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the response dated May 14, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al. (USPN 6,562,429) in view of Keiser (USPN 5,851,617) and Bourdelais et al. (USPN 6,270,950).

Aoki et al. discloses a label stock (Column 1, lines 30 – 33) comprising in order at least

Art Unit: 1772

one pragmatic sheet (Figures 1 – 3, #1), a pressure sensitive adhesive (Column 3, lines 29 – 31; Figure 1, #2) having a thickness between 5 and 100 micrometers, thereby overlapping the claimed range of 12 and 25 micrometers, (Column 7, lines 11 – 14) and a carrier sheet (Figures 1 – 3, #3) adjacent said adhesive (Figure 1, #2 and 3; Column 6, lines 40 – 43) as in claims 1, 4, 6 and 12. The pragmatic sheet comprises either cellulose paper or is substantially transparent (Column 3, lines 18 – 25) and would inherently have a bulk modulus of 2000 to 100,000 MPa. However, Aoki et al. fail to disclose a compliant carrier sheet, the compliant carrier sheet recovering to 90% of the original thickness after compression of between 25 and 50% of the original thickness, recovering 95% of the original thickness in less than 2 seconds after removal of load, having a compliant factor of between 20 and 100 micrometers measured at 1.2 MPa, have a modulus of at least 2500 MPa, be reflective to collimated light energy and have a surface resistivity of less than 1012 ohms per, a polyester polymer sheet having at least one voided layer, a release layer between said adhesive and said voided layer and the pragmatic sheet comprising a gelatin layer adjacent to said adhesive.

Keiser teaches a release layer (Figure 2, #20) between said adhesive (Figure 2, #14) and said compliant carrier sheet carrier sheet (Figure 2, #18; Column 4, lines 49 – 67) wherein the compliant carrier sheet would inherent recover to 90% of the original thickness after compression of between 25 and 50% of the original thickness, recover 95% of the original thickness in less than 2 seconds after removal of load, having a compliant factor of between 20 and 100 micrometers measured at 1.2 MPa, have a modulus of at least 2500 MPa, be reflective to collimated light energy and have a surface resistivity of less than 1012 ohms per square since the

Art Unit: 1772

compliant is an air voided polymer layer (Column 4, lines 49 - 47) made of a polyester polymer sheet (Column 6, lines 24 - 39) as part of a label stock release liner (Column 1, lines 47 - 50) for the purpose of having a substrate that exhibits excellent dimensional stability and physical properties under varying conditions (Column 1, lines 58 - 61).

Bourdelais et al. teach a polyester polymer sheet having at least one voided layer has a base layer (Abstract, lines 1-2) in combination with a pragmatic sheet comprising a gelatin layer adjacent to said adhesive (Column 5, lines 3-6) for the purpose of having a photographic paper that is smoother, tear resistant and has a greater resistance to curl (Column 3, lines 25-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the voided polyester polymer sheet and gelatin layer in Aoki et al. in order to have a substrate that exhibits excellent dimensional stability and physical properties under varying conditions as taught by Keiser and to have a photographic paper that is smoother, tear resistant and has a greater resistance to curl as taught by Bourdelais et al.

5. Claims 13, 18, 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al. (USPN 6,562,429) in view of Keiser (USPN 5,851,617) and Bourdelais et al. (USPN 6,270,950) as applied to claims 1 - 3, 5, 6, 8 - 12 and 14 - 17 above, and further in view of Tsugawa et al. (USPN 5,928,987).

Art Unit: 1772

Aoki et al., as modified with Keiser and Bourdelais et al., discloses a label stock comprising in order at least one pragmatic sheet, a pressure sensitive adhesive having a thickness between 5 and 100 micrometers, thereby overlapping the claimed range of 12 and 25 micrometers, and a compliant carrier sheet, wherein the compliant carrier sheet comprises at least one voided layer adjacent said adhesive and a release layer. However, the modified Aoki et al. fail to disclose the pragmatic sheet comprising a thermal dye receiver layer or an ink jet receiver layer.

Tsugawa et al. teach a pragmatic sheet comprising a thermal dye receiver layer (Column 7, lines 4-6; Column 2, lines 42-47) or an ink jet receiver layer (Column 6, lines 63-66) in a label stock (Column 7, line 5) for the purpose of forming a recording material that is superior in sensitivity and image durability while having good resistance to heat and moisture (Column 2, lines 22-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the thermal dye receiver layer or ink jet receiver layer in the modified Aoki et al. in order to form a recording material that is superior in sensitivity and image durability while having good resistance to heat and moisture as taught by Tsugawa et al.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-18 and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,779

Art Unit: 1772

In response to Applicant's argument that the prior art fails to disclose a release layer between an adhesive and a voided layer, please the new rejection with the Keiser reference presented above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 10:00-7:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln